ELECTRON FIELD SOLUTION WITH CIRCULAR CURRENTS

by Norman Albers

PART I: Field Solutions of the Electron

Electromagnetic theory has been incapable of modeling the electron as a field because it represents point charges in a vacuum. Offered here is a reasonable and mathematically minimal construction of inhomogeneous charge and current terms, added to the usual far-field. Thus the severity of the singularity is limited and now fully integrable. The existence of a static, circular mode of solution is proposed.

It is thought by most that quantum mechanics comprises all that may be said about the fundamental quanta. A self-consistent construction assumes an inhomogeneous spherical charge, and circular current field. Working in spherical coordinates, one finds that only A_{φ} generates reasonable behaviors at the origin. For the same reason there must be no time dependence in scalar potential, U. If field strengths go as r^1 at the origin then observables have finite integrals, as they (energy, angular momentum, etc.) go as r^2 . This motivated the mathematical winnowing process. The physics is that of a static charge-current assembly with a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ for correct accounting of energy interaction terms. "Static" means "unchanging in time" and so includes momentum and current circulating steadily around the z-axis.

The complete current equation is

$$\Box A + \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) + c^{-2} \nabla (\delta U / \delta t) = j / \epsilon_o c^2$$

If U is static,

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) + c^{-2} \ddot{A} = \mathbf{j} \qquad (\varepsilon_0 c^2 \equiv 1).$$

Assuming ${\bf A}$ is only $\hat{\varphi}A_{\varphi}$, and that ${\bf A}=0$,

$$\nabla_r^2 A_{\varphi} + \nabla_{\theta}^2 A_{\varphi} - r^{-2} \sin^{-2} \theta A_{\varphi} = -j.$$

Choose θ -dependence of $\sin \theta$, or $P_1^1(\theta)$. The θ -operator resolves:

$$\nabla_r^2 A_{\omega} - 2r^{-2} A_{\omega} = -j.$$

This is the relevant current equation. Posit now an inhomogeneous, static charge/potential field:

$$U = -U_0 r^{-1} \left(1 - e^{-r} \right).$$

The combination of terms makes manageable the singularity, and $r^{-1}e^{-r}$ gives a charge density of:

$$\rho = -\nabla^2 U = -U_0 r^{-1} e^{-r}.$$

Treat this as moving locally at the speed of light in $\hat{\varphi}$: $(U_0 \equiv 1)$

$$j_{\omega} = \pm c \rho \sin \theta$$
,

where the sign is chosen for up-down consideration. This is justified if the momentum of the current mode is taken as $\rho \mathbf{A}$. If we could multiply momentum by charge/mass, we should have current. Use $c^{-2}\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ as the mass-energy:

$$\mathbf{j} = \rho \mathbf{A} \left(\frac{\rho c^2}{\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{A}} \right) = \frac{\rho^2 c^2}{j} \, \hat{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Thus, $j = \pm \rho c$, in \hat{A} .

We can see that a positron will also have positive energy: the sign of the current determines the sign of $\bf A$, and only ρ changes to positive. I offer no physical justification for choosing mass-energy so; this is the only analytically soluble case and is thus useful.

Take a positive current as the source term of the inhomogeneous current equation,

$$\nabla_r^2 A_n - 2r^{-2} A_n = -cr^{-1} e^{-r} \sin \theta$$
.

Even though these modes are unchanging in time, a Poynting flow can be construed as:

$$\mathbf{E}_r \times \mathbf{B}_\theta = \mathbf{P}_{\varphi}.$$

We are generating a magnet with near and far fields, opposites. Thus **P** changes direction. We chose to identify one direction for \mathbf{j} , and this is consistent with A_{σ} being positive-definite:

$$A_{\varphi} \sin^{-1} \theta = \frac{2}{3} r^{-2} - \frac{1}{3} (2r^{-2} + 2r^{-1} + 1) e^{-r} - \frac{1}{3} r \left[\int r^{-1} e^{-r} dr - \gamma \right].$$

The homogeneous term, in r^{-2} , is put in to cancel the singularity. At the origin, field strengths go as r^{-1} ; densities of conserved quantities go as r^{-2} , and integrate without singularity when multiplied by r^2 in the volume element.

This model yields a fine-structure constant of roughly unity, to be explained elsewhere. All quantities have been integrated to the origin, with no cutoff! Since energy density continues to climb as r^{-2} inside the classical radius, and was already roughly $10^9\,\mathrm{gm/cc}$, neutron star density of $10^{16}\,\mathrm{gm/cc}$ is surpassed within four magnitudes of reduction in r. Beyond here, and without a massive core there is no reason to be stopped at the phase changes distinguishing phases of stellar masses, one must clearly have a relativistic model 1 . Density can be seen to rise to immense values as r approaches the Planck length, though there is no central spike of total energy, charge, or angular momentum.

The mathematics is identical to the superconducting solution in a material (London and London 2 ; Meissner 3), except that they posit zero charge accumulation. Solving A_{φ} under this assumption,

$$\nabla_r^2 A_{\varphi} - 2r^{-2} A_{\varphi} = \lambda^2 A_{\varphi}.$$

This is a homogeneous equation, solved by: $(\lambda \equiv 1)$

$$A_{\varphi} = (r^{-2} + r^{-1})e^{-r}$$
.

The same terms are seen shifted around after we let this current be seen as a charge field, and solve for the inhomogeneous part of the scalar potential U:

$$U = r^{-1}e^{-r} + \int r^{-1}e^{-r}dr - \gamma.$$

The electron is thus clearly seen as a "superconducting" spherical cloud by virtue of being the sum of homogeneous and inhomogeneous fields. From his vantage point 250 years ago, Leonhard Euler receives his due.

PART II: Dielectric Interpretation of Electrons

Given a charge distribution of:

$$\rho = -r^{-l}e^{-r} ,$$

we are free to interpret its source. If we think of it as a monopolar density we identify: $\rho\!\equiv\!\nabla\!\cdot\!\pmb{E} \ ,$

then we could integrate for *E*, and get:

$$E = (r^{-2} + r^{-1})e^{-r}$$
.

We may imagine now a polarization field ${\bf P}$ with divergence such that it accounts for the charge density: $-\nabla\cdot{\bf P}=\rho$.

This is saying **P** is equal and opposite to the inhomogeneous part of the electric field. To complete *E*, however, add a homogeneous term to balance the

singularity in
$$r^{-2}$$
 : $E = -r^{-2} + (r^{-2} + r^{-1})e^{-r}$.

Now we can look at P/E to get to permittivity:

$$\epsilon_h - I = P/E = N/(I - N)$$
 , where $N \equiv (I + r)e^{-r}$.

This yields:

$$\epsilon_h = 1/(1-N)$$
 ,

and a physically interesting model. Electric field can be expressed:

$$E = -r^{-2}(1-N)$$
.

Taking the limit at the origin, the behavior of permittivity is:

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \epsilon_h = (1/2)r^{-2} .$$

The speed of light is the inverse square root, or:

$$\lim_{r\to 0} c/\sqrt{(\epsilon_h)} = r\sqrt{2} \quad ,$$

and tends to zero at the center. This preserves a coherent circulation of energy, since despite the assumption of no $\delta A_{\varphi}/\delta \varphi$, energy does flow in $\hat{\varphi}$. This is another phase state of light, just as ice is another form of water with a different physics of its constituents. There is no further need to explain mass. If we see how energy is "convinced" to spin in a small locale, there is no further

question if it manifests the electric and magnetic fields of the electron/positron.

The physics being illuminated here is of the dipole contribution from the vacuum. Whether the picture of quantum mechanics of virtual "particles" is more accurate than one of space as a more infinitesimal sea of available inhomogeneous fluctuations, there must be the manifestation of charge and current. In a concurrent paper on Photon Localization, I show how such physics allows the existence of localized wave packets. That analysis can be applied directly to vacuum fluctuations to reveal non-quantized charge densities, such as are needed here. Regardless, we can speculate on some fascinating possibilities. If we picture a dipole pair, and it points outward in a negative electron field, the particles will be drawn back together to annihilation. Those pointing inward, parallel to the total electric field (we defined it this way, since the inhomogeneous part is smaller than the homogeneous), will be tugged apart somewhat. We can see a natural selection in harmony with the stability of this state of energy. Furthermore, the positive end will be closer to the center and feel a slightly stronger electric field, so it is more strongly attracted than the negative end is repelled. Thus, the dipole as a unit experiences an attraction toward the center. This is a remarkable state of affairs for a system which, viewed as a classical "assembly of charge", should want to fly apart. There will be a diffusion of dipoles inward; they cross vertical lines of magnetic field, and this turns the two particles in opposite directions sideways, or into \hat{arphi} , contributing to the circular currents which must exist. Beyond that, we can say that there is a negative dipole pressure, as they are attracted inward. This is notably important especially for the relativistic solution needed at very high energy densities near the singularity. Reminiscent of Higgs theory which depends on negative pressure, this is presumably a manifestation different from Higgs bosons.

¹ Adler, Bazin, Schiffer, Intro. to Gen. Rel., McGraw-Hill (1965), p. 467.

² H. London, F. London, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), A149, 71 (1935).

³ W. Meissner, R. Ochsenfeld, Naturwiss. 21, 787 (1933).